

Since  $M$  is cpt, &  $\lambda_i$  sufficiently close to  $\lambda_i$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i \alpha_i + \int_M \alpha \bar{\alpha} \varphi > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i [\alpha_i] \in K_a(M)$$

i.e.,  $\exists$  neighborhood  $U$  of  $[\alpha]$  s.t.  $U \subset K_a(M)$

$K_a(M)$  is open

#

2022.12.27

Defn (Nef) If  $[\alpha] \in \overline{K_a(M)}$ , then  $[\alpha]$  is called nef.  
"numerally + effective".

Lemma 2.2. Assume  $(M, \omega)$  is a cpt Kähler mfd.

Then  $[\alpha] \in H^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R})$  is nef iff  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \varphi_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(M)$   
such that

$$\alpha + \int_M \alpha \bar{\alpha} \varphi_\varepsilon > -\varepsilon \omega$$

Pf: Omit. Detail of pf, refer to Tosatti's kAWA lecture.

Cor 2.3: If  $[\alpha] \in \overline{K_a(M)}$ ,  $[\beta] \in K_a(M)$ , then  $[\alpha] + [\beta] \in K_a(M)$ .



Defn (Big): A nef class  $[\alpha]$  is called big

if

$$\int_M \alpha^n > 0.$$

Defn (First Chern Class)  $C_1(M) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} [Ric(\omega)]$

Prop. 2.4.  $C_1(M)$  is independent of choice of  $\omega$ .

Pf: Assume  $\tilde{\omega} = \sum \tilde{g}_{ij} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j$  be any other Kähler metric.

Then  $\exists F \in C^\infty(M)$  s.t.

$$\tilde{\omega}^n = e^F \cdot \omega^n$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Ric}(\tilde{\omega}) = -\int_1 \partial \bar{\partial} \log \tilde{\omega}^n = -\int_1 \partial \bar{\partial} \log (e^F \omega^n) \\ = -\int_1 \partial \bar{\partial} F + \text{Ric}(\omega)$$

$$\Rightarrow [\text{Ric}(\tilde{\omega})] = [\text{Ric}(\omega)] \quad \#$$

Example: Let  $M = M_1 \times M_2$ ,  $(M_1, \omega_1)$ ,  $(M_2, \omega_2)$ .

$\pi_1: M \rightarrow M_1$ ,  $\pi_2: M \rightarrow M_2$  projection map

Define  $\omega = \pi_1^* \omega_1 + \pi_2^* \omega_2$ .

Then  $\text{Ric}(\omega) = \pi_1^* \text{Ric}(\omega_1) + \pi_2^* \text{Ric}(\omega_2)$

If  $\omega_1(t)$ ,  $\omega_2(t)$  are soln. of (KRF) on  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , respectively.

w/  $\omega_1(0) = \omega_1$ ,  $\omega_2(0) = \omega_2$

Then  $\omega(t) = \pi_1^* \omega_1(t) + \pi_2^* \omega_2(t)$  is a soln. of (KRF)

on  $M$  with  $\omega(0) = \omega$ .

### §3 The maximal existence time theorem

Assume  $g(t)$  is a soln. of (RF) on  $M \times [0, T)$ .

$T$ : the maximal time &  $T < +\infty$ .

- Hamilton,  $\sup_{M \times [0, T)} |R_m| = +\infty$ .

- Sesum,  $\sup_{M \times [0, T)} |\text{Ric}| = +\infty$

Question:  $\sup_{M \times [0, T)} |R| = +\infty?$  ( $\Leftrightarrow \sup_{M \times [0, T)} R = +\infty$ )

Kähler case, ✓, due to Zhang, Zhou.

For (KRF):  $\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \omega(t)}{\partial t} = -\text{Ric}(\omega(t)) \\ \omega(0) = \omega_0 \end{cases}$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} [\omega(t)] = -2\pi C_1(M) \\ [\omega_0] = [\omega_0] \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow [\omega(t)] = [\omega_0] - 2\pi t C_1(M)$$

If  $\omega(t)$  is Kähler  $\Rightarrow [\omega(t)] > 0$  i.e.,  $[\omega_0] - 2\pi t C_1(M) > 0$ .

- If  $C_1(M) < 0 \Rightarrow T > +\infty$

- If  $C_1(M) = 0 \Rightarrow T = +\infty$

Thm 3.1 (Tian-Zhang, Zhou, 2006)

Let  $(M, \omega_0)$  be a closed Kähler mfd. Then (KRF) has a

Unique smooth soln.  $\omega(t)$  defined on the maximal time interval  $[0, T)$  ( $0 < T \leq +\infty$ ), where  $T$  is given by

$$T := \sup \left\{ t > 0 \mid [\omega_0] - 2\pi t G(M) \in \overline{K_a(M)} \right\} \quad (3.1)$$

Cor 3.2:  $T = +\infty$  iff  $-G(M) \in \overline{K_a(M)}$  i.e.,  $-G(M)$  is nef.

- Parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation.

Given a Kähler metric  $\hat{\omega}$  (as a background metric)

s.t.  $[\omega(t)] = [\hat{\omega}]$ , then by  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -Lemma,  $\exists \varphi(t) \in C^\infty(M)$

s.t.  $\omega(t) = \hat{\omega} + \varphi \partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ .

Fix a smooth volume form  $\Omega'$  on  $M$ , then  $\exists F \in C^\infty(M)$ , s.t.

$$\Omega' = e^F \cdot \omega_0^n$$

Then  $\exists C \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $\int e^{F+C} \omega_0^n = \int \omega_0^n$

Set  $\Omega = e^{F+C} \cdot \omega_0^n = e^C \cdot \Omega'$  satisfying

$$\int_M \Omega = \int_M \omega_0^n$$

&  $[\text{Ric}(\Omega)] = [\text{Ric}(\Omega')] = [\text{Ric}(\omega_0^n)] = [\text{Ric}(\omega_0)] = 2\pi G(M)$

Now we begin to prove Thm 3.1.

Fix any  $T' \in (0, T)$  ( $\Rightarrow T' < +\infty$ ), and by definition, we have

$$[\omega_0] - 2\pi T' G(M) \in K_a(M)$$

$\therefore \exists$  Kähler metric  $\eta$  s.t.

$$[\eta] = [\omega_0] - 2\pi T^*G(M)$$

Set  $\chi := \frac{1}{T'}(\eta - \omega_0) \dots \dots \quad (3.2)$

$$\Rightarrow [\chi] = -2\pi G(M) = -[\text{Ric}(\omega)]$$

and  $\hat{\omega}_t := \omega_0 + t\chi = \frac{1}{T'}((T' - t)\omega_0 + t\eta) \dots \dots \quad (3.3)$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\omega}_t$  is a Kähler metric for  $t \in [0, T']$ .

We choose a smooth volume form  $\nu_2$  s.t.

$$\text{Ric}(\nu_2) = -\chi \quad \text{and} \quad \int_M \nu_2 = \int_M \omega_0^n \quad \dots \dots \quad (3.4)$$

$$\therefore [\omega(t)] = [\hat{\omega}_t]$$

$$\therefore \exists \varphi(t) \in C^\infty(M) \text{ s.t. } \omega(t) = \hat{\omega}_t + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi(t)$$

Lem 3.3: The KRF equation is equivalent to the following  
*if and only if*

parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi(t) = \log \frac{(\hat{\omega}_t + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi(t))^n}{\nu_2} \\ \hat{\omega}_t + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi(t) > 0 \\ \varphi(0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad \dots \dots \quad (3.5)$$

Pf: " $\Leftarrow$ " ("if" direction)

Direct calculation.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \omega(t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial \hat{\omega}_t}{\partial t} + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \frac{\partial \varphi(t)}{\partial t} \\ &= \chi + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \frac{\omega^n(t)}{\nu_2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \chi - \text{Ric}(\omega(t)) + \text{Ric}(\Omega) \\
 &= \chi - \text{Ric}(\omega(t)) - \chi \\
 &= -\text{Ric}(\omega(t)).
 \end{aligned}$$

" $\Rightarrow$ " ("Only if")

$$\because \frac{\partial \omega(t)}{\partial t} = -\text{Ric}(\omega(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(t) = \hat{\omega}_t + \sqrt{1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi(t)$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial \hat{\omega}_t}{\partial t} + \sqrt{1} \partial \bar{\partial} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \sqrt{1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \hat{\omega}(t)$$

$$\chi + \sqrt{1} \partial \bar{\partial} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \sqrt{1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \omega''(t)$$

$$\because \chi = -\text{Ric}(\Omega)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow \sqrt{1} \partial \bar{\partial} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} &= \sqrt{1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \omega''(t) - \sqrt{1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \Omega \\
 &= \sqrt{1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \frac{\omega''(t)}{\Omega}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \exists C(t) \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} &= \log \frac{\omega''(t)}{\Omega} + C(t) \\
 &= \log \frac{(\hat{\omega}_t + \sqrt{1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi(t))^n}{\Omega} + C(t)
 \end{aligned}$$

Normalizing for  $\varphi$  s.t.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \log \frac{(\hat{\omega}_t + \sqrt{1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi(t))^n}{\Omega} \\ \varphi(0) = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

$$\hat{\omega}_t + \sqrt{1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi(t) > 0$$

#

Short-time existence and uniqueness due to Hamilton 1982.

Here we provide a pf for uniqueness theorem of KRF.

Thm 3.4: The uniqueness thm of KRF.

Pf: Assume  $\omega_1(t)$  and  $\omega_2(t)$  are soln. of (KRF) w/  $\omega_1(0)=\omega_2(0)=\omega_0$

$\Rightarrow \exists \varphi_1(t), \varphi_2(t) \in C^\infty(M) \text{ s.t.}$

$$\omega_1(t) = \hat{\omega}_t + \int_0^t \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi_1(s) ds, \quad \omega_2(t) = \hat{\omega}_t + \int_0^t \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi_2(s) ds$$

and  $\varphi_1(t), \varphi_2(t)$  are soln. of (3.5) for  $t \in [0, T]$ .

Goal:  $\varphi_1(t) = \varphi_2(t)$ .

Let  $\psi(t) = \varphi_1(t) - \varphi_2(t)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_2(t) &= \hat{\omega}_t + \int_0^t \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi_2(s) ds = \hat{\omega}_t + \int_0^t \partial \bar{\partial} (\varphi_1 - \psi) ds \\ &= \omega_1(t) - \int_0^t \partial \bar{\partial} \psi ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega_2(t) + \int_0^t \partial \bar{\partial} \psi(s) ds)^n &= \omega_1^n(t) = e^{\dot{\varphi}_1(t)} \Omega = e^{\dot{\psi} + \dot{\varphi}_2(t)} \Omega \\ &= e^{\dot{\psi}} \omega_2^n(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \log \frac{(\omega_2(t) + \int_0^t \partial \bar{\partial} \psi(s) ds)^n}{\omega_2^n(t)} \\ \psi(0) = 0 \\ \omega_2(t) + \int_0^t \partial \bar{\partial} \psi(s) ds > 0 \end{cases}$$

Pf of the maximum principle.

For any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , set  $\tilde{\psi}(t) := \psi(t) - \varepsilon t \Rightarrow \tilde{\psi} \in C^\infty(M \times [0, T])$

$$\text{and } \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}}{\partial t} = \log \frac{(\omega_2(t) + \int_0^t \partial \bar{\partial} \tilde{\psi}(s) ds)^n}{\omega_2^n(t)} - \varepsilon$$

Using the maximum principle. At the maximum pt  $(x_0, t_0)$  of  $\tilde{\Psi}$

$$\int \partial_t \tilde{\Psi}(x_0, t_0) \leq 0.$$

If  $t_0 > 0$ ,  $\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \tilde{\Psi}}{\partial t}(x_0, t_0) \geq 0$  } contradiction.

On the other hand,  $\frac{\partial \tilde{\Psi}}{\partial t}(x_0, t_0) \leq -\varepsilon$

$\Rightarrow t_0 = 0$ .

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{\Psi}(t) \leq \tilde{\Psi}(0) = 0 \Rightarrow \Psi(t) \leq \varepsilon t \leq \varepsilon T'$$

By the arbitrary of  $\varepsilon \Rightarrow \Psi(t) \leq 0$ .

Similarly, at the minimum pt  $(\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{t}_0)$  of  $\Psi(t) + \varepsilon t$ .

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{t}_0 = 0 \Rightarrow \Psi(t) \geq -\varepsilon t \geq -\varepsilon T'$$

$$\Rightarrow \Psi(t) \geq 0$$

Hence  $\Psi(t) \equiv 0$ , i.e.  $\varphi_1(t) \equiv \varphi_2(t)$ .

#

The maximum principle:

Let  $M$  be a closed mfd. Let  $f = f(x, t)$  be a smooth fcn on  $M \times [0, a]$  ( $a > 0$ ). Then  $f$  achieves a global maximum (minimum) at some pt  $(x_0, t_0) \in M \times [0, a]$ . Then at  $(x_0, t_0)$ , we have

$$\int \partial_t^2 f(x_0, t_0) \leq 0 \quad (\geq 0)$$

and (1) if  $t_0 = 0$ , then  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x_0, t_0) \leq 0 \quad (\geq 0)$

$$(2) \text{ if } t_0 \in (0, a), \text{ then } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x_0, t_0) = 0 \quad (=0) \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \Rightarrow t_0 > 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(x_0, t_0) > 0 \\ (t_0 > 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(x_0, t_0) \geq 0) \end{array} \right.$$

$$(3) \text{ if } t_0 = a, \text{ then } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x_0, t_0) \geq 0 \quad (\leq 0)$$

Thm 3.5 (Short-time existence theorem, Hamilton)

Let  $(M^n, \omega_0)$  be a closed Kähler mfd. Then  $\exists \varepsilon > 0$  and a unique smooth soln.  $\omega(t)$  of (KRF) defined on  $[0, \varepsilon]$

$$\text{Denote } T_{\max} := \sup \left\{ \varepsilon > 0 \mid \varepsilon \text{ in Thm 3.5} \right\}$$

The main goal of this section is to prove

$$T_{\max} = T, \quad T := \sup \left\{ t_0 \mid [\omega_0] - t \cdot 2\pi c_1(\mu) > 0 \right\}$$

Easy to see  $T_{\max} \leq T$ .

It suffices to prove  $T \leq T_{\max}$ . We will prove for any  $T' < T$ ,

if  $T' > T_{\max}$ , we will obtain a contradiction. ( $\Rightarrow T' \leq T_{\max}$ )

Thm 3.6 (Key Thm)

$\forall k \geq 0, \exists C_k = C(k, \omega_0, T_{\max}, T')$  s.t.

$$\|\varphi(t)\|_{C^k(M, g_0)} \leq C_k$$

and

$$\omega(t) \geq C_0^{-1} \omega_0$$

Given Thm 3.6, we will prove Thm 3.1.

$$\forall k \geq 0, \|\varphi\|_{C^k} \leq C_k \xrightarrow{\text{Arzela-Ascoli}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists t_i \rightarrow T_{\max} \text{ s.t.} \\ \text{① } \varphi(t_i) \rightarrow \varphi_{T_{\max}} \text{ in } C^\infty \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty \\ \text{② } \varphi_{T_{\max}} \text{ is smooth} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\omega(t) \geq C_0^{-1} \omega_0 \xrightarrow{} \text{③ } \omega_{T_{\max}} := \omega_0 + \int_0^{T_{\max}} \partial_t \varphi \geq C_0^{-1} \omega_0 > 0$$

And we have

$$\text{Lem 3.7: } |\dot{\varphi}| \leq C.$$

By Lem 3.7, we have  $\varphi(t) \rightarrow \varphi_{T_{\max}}$  in  $C^\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow T_{\max}$

$\exists$  soln.

$$\begin{aligned} w(t) & \text{ s.t. } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial w(t)}{\partial t} = -\text{Ric}(w(t)) \\ w(T_{\max}) = w_{T_{\max}} \end{array} \right. \\ & \quad \text{on } t \in [T_{\max}, T_{\max} + \varepsilon] \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow (w(t) \mid t \in [0, T_{\max} + \varepsilon])$  is a soln. of

$$\text{KRF: } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial w(t)}{\partial t} = -\text{Ric}(w(t)) \\ w(0) = w_0 \end{array} \right.$$

Contradict with the definition of  $T_{\max}$ .

$$\Rightarrow T_{\max} \geq T'$$

$$\Rightarrow T_{\max} \geq T.$$

#

Next, we will prove Thm 3.6 and Lem 3.7

- Estimate on  $\varphi$  and  $\dot{\varphi}$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \varphi_{\max}}{\partial t} \leq \log \frac{\hat{w}_t^n}{n} = \sup_{\mathcal{M} \times [0, T_{\max}]} \log \frac{\hat{w}_t^n}{n} =: A$$

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \log \frac{(\hat{w}_t + f_t \partial \varphi)^n}{n}$$

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_{\max}}{\partial t} \leq \log \frac{\hat{w}_t^n}{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varphi_{\max} - At) \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_{\max}(t) - \varphi(0) \leq At \leq A T_{\max}$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(x, t) \leq C$$

$$\text{Similarly, } \frac{\partial \varphi_{\min}}{\partial t} \geq \log \frac{\hat{\omega}_t^n}{n} \geq \inf_{M \times [0, T_{\max}]} \frac{\hat{\omega}_t^n}{n} = : B$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(x, t) \geq Bt \geq -|B|T_{\max} \geq -C.$$

We get

Lem 3.8:  $\exists C = C(\omega_0, T_{\max}) > 0$  s.t. on  $M \times [0, T_{\max}]$

$$|\varphi| \leq C.$$

Next, we prove Lem 3.7.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \log \frac{(\hat{\omega}_t + \Gamma \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi)^n}{n} & \hat{\omega}_t = \omega_0 + t\chi \\ (\varphi|_0) = 0 & = \frac{1}{T'} ((T' - t) \omega_0 + t\eta) \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \dot{\varphi} = \text{tr}_{\omega(t)} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{\omega}_t}{\partial t} + \Gamma \partial \bar{\partial} \dot{\varphi} \right)$$

$$= \Delta \dot{\varphi} + \text{tr}_{\omega(t)} \chi$$

$$\Rightarrow \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) \dot{\varphi} = \text{tr}_{\omega(t)} \chi = \text{tr}_{\omega(t)} \left( \frac{(\omega(t) - \Gamma \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi - \omega_0)}{t} \right) \\ = \frac{1}{t} (n - \Delta \varphi - \text{tr}_{\omega(t)} \omega_0)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) (t \dot{\varphi}) = \dot{\varphi} + t \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) \dot{\varphi} \\ = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) \varphi + n - \text{tr}_{\omega(t)} \omega_0$$

$$\Rightarrow \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) (t \dot{\varphi} - \varphi - nt) = -\text{tr}_{\omega(t)} \omega_0 < 0$$

$$\text{And } \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) ((T' - t) \dot{\varphi}(t) + \varphi(t) + nt) = \text{tr}_{\omega(t)} \hat{\omega}_{T'} > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [(\tau'-t)\dot{\varphi}(t) + \varphi(t) + nt]_{\min} \geq \tau'[\dot{\varphi}(0)]_{\min} \\ \geq \tau' \inf_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \log \frac{\omega^n}{n} \geq -C$$

Since  $\tau'-t \geq \tau'-T_{\max} > 0$

$$\Rightarrow (\dot{\varphi})_{\min}(t) \geq -C$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{\varphi} \geq -C$$

$$\cdot \frac{\partial \dot{\varphi}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \log \frac{\omega^n(t)}{n} = \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \omega^n(t)}{\omega^n(t)}$$

$$= \frac{n \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} \wedge \omega^{n-1}(t)}{\omega^n(t)}$$

$$= \frac{-n \text{Ric}(\omega(t)) \wedge \omega^{n-1}(t)}{\omega^n(t)} = -R(t).$$

Along RF,  $R_{\text{int}}(t) \geq R_{\text{int}}(0) \geq -C$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \dot{\varphi} \leq C$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{\varphi} \leq C$$

$$\Rightarrow |\dot{\varphi}| \leq C. \quad C = C(\omega_0, T_{\max}, \tau') \#$$